

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,038	11/13/2003	Satoshi Ishikawa	0042-0491P	7110
2292	7590 08/29/2005	EXAMINER		INER
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			MAYES, DIONNE WALLS	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1731	

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer.	10/706,038	ISHIKAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dionne Walls Mayes	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 August 2005</u> . 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	etion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 050823			

Application/Control Number: 10/706,038

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Reopening of Prosecution/Withdrawal of Final Rejection and Allowable Subject Matter

After reconsidering the prior art of record, namely the EP 07901688 reference, the Examiner has determined that a new ground of rejection should be made over the claims as filed on August 2, 2005. Therefore, the FINALITY of the rejection of the last Office Action, dated May 3, 2005, and the indication of allowable subject matter has been WITHDRAWN. In view of the discovery of the above-cited art, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED as set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0791688.

EP 0791688 discloses all that is recited in the claims since it teaches a wrapper paper for a smoking article, wherein the paper can have a weight of 70 g/m², and a calcium carbonate content of 60% of the paper weight – which means 42 g/m² (corresponding to the claimed "at least 30 g/m²). The paper also can contain a chemical additive, comprising potassium citrate or sodium citrate, in the amount of from 0.3-10% by weight of the paper (corresponding to the claimed "at least 3% by mass of

Page 3

burn adjusting agent"). While EP 0791688 may not specifically state that an ash content in a surface layer on at least one side of the wrapper paper, or on each of a top side and a bottom side of the wrapper paper, is not higher than 35% by mass, this claim recitation is not deemed to patentably distinguish the claims from the EP reference because where the prior art product is identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430,433 (CCPA 1977). In other words, when the structure recited in the reference is substantially identical to that of the claims, the claimed properties or functions are presumed to be inherent. Absent any extrinsic evidence to the contrary, one having ordinary skill in the art would expect that the cigarette wrapper of EP 0791688 would also exhibit the claimed ash parameters, since, structurally, the claimed wrapper paper and that of EP 0791688 are practically identical, in that they both have the same calcium carbonate and burn adjusting agent compositions.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne Walls Mayes whose telephone number is (571) 272-1195. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).(

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Dionne Walls Mayes Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731

August 23, 2005